### Substitute Senate Bill No. 571

Senate, April 1, 1998. The Committee on Environment reported through SEN. DAILY, 33rd DIST., Chairman of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING WATER DIVERSION POLICY.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:
Section 1. Section 22a-375 of the general

Section 1. Section 22a-375 of the general 2 statutes is repealed and the following is 3 substituted in lieu thereof:

- 4 (a) The commissioner may periodically 5 investigate and review those diversions which are 6 taking place pursuant to a permit issued in 7 accordance with sections 22a-365 to 22a-378, 8 inclusive. If he determines that there is any 9 violation of the terms, limitations or conditions 10 of the permit, he may suspend or revoke said 11 permit in accordance with the provisions of 12 chapter 54 or may request the Attorney General to 13 bring an action to enjoin such violation in 14 accordance with the provisions of subsection (a) 15 of section 22a-376.
- 16 (b) THE COMMISSIONER SHALL INVESTIGATE AND 17 REVIEW THOSE DIVERSIONS REGISTERED IN ACCORDANCE 18 WITH SECTION 22a-368. THE COMMISSIONER SHALL 19 PREPARE A REPORT FOR THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY WHICH 20 SHALL BE DELIVERED ON OR BEFORE JANUARY 1, 2000, 21 AND SHALL INCLUDE: (1) AN INVENTORY OF DIVERSION
- 21 AND SHALL INCLUDE: (1) AN INVENTORY OF DIVERSION 22 REGISTRATIONS FILED ON OR BEFORE JULY 1, 1983; (2)
- 23 A DETERMINATION OF THE WITHDRAWAL QUANTITIES

24 ELIGIBLE FOR SUCH REGISTRATION; (3) AN EVALUATION 25 OF THE EXTENT TO WHICH SUCH REGISTRATIONS ARE 26 CURRENTLY IN USE OR ARE PLANNED TO BE USED BY THE 27 REGISTRANTS; (4) A SUMMARY OF THE APPROPRIATE 28 WITHDRAWAL QUANTITIES AUTHORIZED PURSUANT TO THOSE 29 REGISTRATIONS THAT SHOULD  ${\tt BE}$ CONSIDERED 30 EVALUATING PERMIT APPLICATIONS FILED PURSUANT TO 31 SECTIONS 22a-368 TO 22a-378, INCLUSIVE; AND (5) 32 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANY LEGISLATIVE CHANGES 33 NECESSARY TO BALANCE COMPETING AND CONFLICTING 34 NEEDS FOR WATER EQUITABLY AT A REASONABLE COST TO 35 ALL CITIZENS AND TO EFFECTIVELY ALLOCATE THE WATER 36 RESOURCES OF THE STATE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY AND 37 WELFARE UNDER THE CONNECTICUT WATER DIVERSION 38 POLICY ACT.

39 Sec. 2. Subsection (a) of section 22a-368 of 40 the general statutes is repealed and the following 41 is substituted in lieu thereof:

(a) Any person or municipality maintaining a 43 diversion prior to or on July 1, 1982, shall 44 register on or before July 1, 1983, with the 45 commissioner on a form prescribed by him the 46 location, capacity, frequency and rate of 47 withdrawals or discharges of said diversion and a 48 description of the water use and water system. FOR 49 THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, A DIVERSION SHALL BE 50 CONSIDERED HAVING BEEN MAINTAINED IF AS 51 INSTALLATION OF THE DIVERSION WAS INITIATED ON 52 BEFORE JULY 1, 1982, AND THE REGISTRANT PROPERLY 53 DEMONSTRATED AN INTENT TO USE SUCH DIVERSION. Any 54 such diversion which is not so registered may be 55 subject to the permit requirements of sections 56 22a-365 to 22a-378, inclusive.

Sec. 3. (a) There is established a task force 58 to study state-wide water allocation policy and 59 standards. The task force may develop a water 60 allocation methodology for a particular river 61 basin which may be based on (1) a determination of 62 the total water available in the basin, (2) an 63 analysis of the consumption of water by the 64 various users in the basin at current levels and 65 expected future levels, (3) a prioritization of 66 water resource needs within the basin which does 67 not adversely impact the current and future uses 68 of the river, (4) recommendations regarding an 69 appropriate amount of water to be allocated from 70 and for the river basin.

- 71 (b) The task force shall consist of the 72 following members:
- 73 (1) Two appointed by the speaker of the House 74 of Representatives;
- 75 (2) Two appointed by the president pro 76 tempore of the Senate;
- 77 (3) One appointed by the majority leader of 78 the House of Representatives;
- 79 (4) One appointed by the majority leader of 80 the Senate;
- 81 (5) One appointed by the minority leader of 82 the House of Representatives;
- 83 (6) One appointed by the minority leader of 84 the Senate; and
- 85 (7) The Commissioner of Environmental 86 Protection, or his designee.
- 87 (c) Any member of the task force appointed 88 under subdivision (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) or (6) 89 of subsection (b) of this section may be a member 90 of the General Assembly.
- 91 (d) All appointments to the task force shall 92 be made no later than thirty days after the 93 effective date of this section. Any vacancy shall 94 be filled by the appointing authority.
- 95 (e) The speaker of the House of 96 Representatives and the president pro tempore of 97 the Senate shall select the chairpersons of the 98 task force, from among the members of the task 99 force. Such chairpersons shall schedule the first 100 meeting of the task force, which shall be held no 101 later than sixty days after the effective date of 102 this section.
- 103 (f) The administrative staff of the joint 104 standing committee of the General Assembly having 105 cognizance of matters relating to the environment 106 shall serve as administrative staff of the task 107 force.
- 108 (g) Not later than January 1, 1999, the task 109 force shall submit a report on its findings and 110 recommendations to the joint standing committee of 111 the General Assembly having cognizance of matters 112 relating to the environment, in accordance with 113 the provisions of section 11-4a of the general 114 statutes. The task force shall terminate on the 115 date that it submits such report or January 1, 116 1999, whichever is earlier.
- 117 ENV COMMITTEE VOTE: YEA 23 NAY 0 JFS

\* \* \* \* \*

"THE FOLLOWING FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND BILL ANALYSIS ARE PREPARED FOR THE BENEFIT OF MEMBERS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY, SOLELY FOR PURPOSES OF INFORMATION, SUMMARIZATION AND EXPLANATION AND DO NOT REPRESENT THE INTENT OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OR EITHER HOUSE THEREOF FOR ANY PURPOSE."

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT - BILL NUMBER sSB 571

STATE IMPACT Cost, Minimal Cost, Within Budgetary Resources, see

explanation below

MUNICIPAL IMPACT None

STATE AGENCY(S) Department of Environmental Protection, Legislative Management

## EXPLANATION OF ESTIMATES:

STATE IMPACT: Passage of this bill will increase costs to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for FY 1999 and FY 2000. DEP is required to investigate and review exempt water diversions (exempt from the permit program) and report to the General Assembly by January 2000. The DEP extimates that 2094 existing registrations (those exempt are registered with DEP) will need to be investigated in this time frame. An analyst, civil engineer and a part-time technician would be needed, costing approximately \$175,000 over the next two fiscal years.

Creation of a task force to study statewide water allocation policy and standards could minimally increase costs for Legislative Management due to the possibility of reimbursement for additional mileage expenses. It is expected that any potential cost can be handled within the anticipated budgetary resources of Legislative Management. In addition, any increase in the workload of the Department of Environmental Protection due to membership on the task force is anticpated to be accomplished within the routine activites of the agency.

\* \* \* \* \*

# OLR BILL ANALYSIS

sSB 571

#### AN ACT CONCERNING WATER DIVERSION POLICY

SUMMARY: By law, any water diversion maintained on or before July 1, 1982 is exempt from the water diversion permit program if the owner registered it with the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) by July 1, 1983. This bill broadens the exemption to include diversions not in use by the 1982 deadline if (1) they were under construction and (2) the owners registered them before the 1983 deadline and properly demonstrated an intention to use them.

The bill requires the DEP commissioner to investigate and review such exempt diversions and report to the General Assembly by January 1, 2000. The report must include (1) an inventory of the diversions and the amount of water they may use, (2) an evaluation of the extent the diversions are currently in use or planned for use, (3) a summary of the extent to which the registered volumes should be used to evaluate new permit applications in the affected basins, and (4) legislative recommendations that equitably balance competing water needs at a reasonable cost to citizens and that effectively allocate water resources for public safety and welfare.

The bill also establishes a task force to study statewide water allocation policy and standards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 1998

#### FURTHER EXPLANATION

# Water Allocation Policy and Standards Task Force

The bill establishes a nine-member task force to study statewide water allocation policy and standards. It may develop a policy for a particular basin based on (1) the total water available, (2) an analysis of current and anticipated water consumption, (3) water need priorities, and (4) recommendations for the

appropriate allocation. It must report its findings to the Environment Committee by January 1, 1999 or earlier, at which time it will terminate.

The task force consists of the DEP commissioner or his designee and eight members appointed as follows: (a) two by the House speaker, (b) two by the Senate president pro tempore, and (c) one each by the House and Senate majority and minority leaders. They must make their appointments by October 1, 1998 and may appoint legislators. The House speaker and Senate president pro tempore must select chairpersons, who must convene the task force by November 30, 1998. The administrative staff of the Environment Committee will serve as the staff for the task force.

#### **BACKGROUND**

# Water Diversion Policy Act

The Water Diversion Policy Act, passed in 1982, established a permit requirement for anyone wishing to divert 50,000 or more gallons a day. It exempted existing diversions if they registered with the DEP within one year. A new diversion must apply for a permit that includes a review of its effect on the watershed or basin considering existing diversions. Water diversions are anything that causes, allows, or results in the withdrawal from or alteration, modification, or diminution of the flow of water.

#### COMMITTEE ACTION

Environment Committee

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 23 Nay 0